

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

 μ

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,550	12/02/2004	Jacobus Johannes Chretien Coumans	NL 020466	8388	
24737 7590 04/17/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			HINES, ANNE M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879	-	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,550	COUMANS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anne M. Hines	2879		

			1 20.0	
The MAILING DAT	E of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress -
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 200	07 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
this application, applicant places the application in c a Request for Continued I time periods:	must timely file one of the follow condition for allowance; (2) a No Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ince with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n	iffidavit, or other eviden n compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	piresmonths from the mailing			
no event, however, will t	he statutory period for reply expire la	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ing date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE	E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).	TE FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained have been filed is the date for purpounder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated set forth in (b) above, if checked. A	d under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date oses of determining the period of externment (1) the expiration date of the s	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing d	nt of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filing the Notice of Appeal a Notice of Appeal has be 	l (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t within the time period set forth in	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
. (a) They raise new issu	nt(s) filed after a final rejection, l les that would require further col e of new matter (see NOTE belo	but prior to the date of filing a brie nsideration and/or search (see Now);	if, will <u>not</u> be entered be OTE below);	ecause
(c) 🖾 They are not deeme appeal; and/or	ed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying t	the issues for
	onal claims without canceling a dee 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
		21. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	ercome the following rejection(s)		•	,
		lowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
how the new or amended The status of the claim(s) Claim(s) allowed: <u>7 and 8</u>	claims would be rejected is provis (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 2-5.			· ·	
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 6 Claim(s) withdrawn from 6			*	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence of the country	ence filed after a final action, bu o provide a showing of good and	t before or on the date of filing a lid sufficient reasons why the affidate	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
entered because the affid	avit or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome all rejections under apply and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
	dence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after		
	eration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
	 nation Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·		
, L			\wedge	Mex
Hatt			MARICEI I	SANTIAGO
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			MANUALI	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2879

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 3, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to claim 1, Applicant argues that the Kiesel reference does not disclose the requirement that portions of the current conductors which are in contact with the atmosphere are provided with means for protection against oxidation because the portion of Kiesel's current conductors that are in contact with the atmosphere are contact pins which are made entirely of a iron-nickel-chromium alloy.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 1 requires that "current conductors made at least partly from molybdenum are connected to said electric element, which conductors are partly embedded in the seal and at least those portions which are in contact with the atmosphere outside the lamp are provided with means for protection against oxidation, characterized in that the means for protection against oxidation are chosen from the group of materials formed by chromium-manganese, chromium-cobalt, chromium-iron, and chromium-boron alloys" (emphasis added). Claim 1 does not require any of the portion of the conductor outside the seal of the lamp to be made of molybdenum, nor does claim 1 require that the means for protection against oxidation be anything other than the listed alloys. Since Kiesel does provide conductors that connect to the filament of the lamp that are partly molybdenum (see 5,6) and the portions of the conductors that are in contact with the atmosphere are provided with a means for protection against oxidation in the form of an iron-nickel-chromium alloy (ie

stainless steel), Kiesel discloses the invention of claim 1. The Examiner also notes that the contact pins are part of the conductor that connects to the electric element.

Page 3

With regard to claim 6, Applicant argues that both the Kiesel and Hardies references teach away from the claimed invention for several reasons: Kiesel teaches using the iron-nickel-chromium alloy as contact pins and not as a coating; Hardies teaches that nickel-based coatings are preferred for economic reasons; Hardies teaches that coating molybdenum contact pins with an oxidation-resistant material is disadvantageous.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Although Kiesel and Hardies teach other embodiments as preferred, they still disclose the structural elements relied upon by the Examiner in the 103(a) rejection of claims 1 and 6. Furthermore, Hardies teaches an oxidation resistant coating for molybdenum contact pins in order to prevent loss of electrical contact due to corrosion, Hardies teaches a number of materials as oxidation-resistant coverings for molybdenum contact pins, including inter alia nickel and chromium. Kiesel teaches an iron-nickel-chromium alloy, commonly known as stainless steel, as suitable for contact pins for a lamp and wherein this composition ensures reliable connections with an external voltage source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the material of Kiesel for the coating of Hardies in order to provide contact pins for a lamp that ensure a reliable connection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne M. Hines whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2879

2285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anne M Hines
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2879

MARICELI SANTIAGO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4